

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,507	11/13/2003	Anita Wongosari	J-3567A	8933	
28165	7590 04/28/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
S.C. JOHNSON & SON, INC.			HOGAN, JAI	HOGAN, JAMES SEAN	
1525 HOWE STREET RACINE. WI 53403-2236			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3752		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/712,507	WONGOSARI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James S Hogan	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No.	ovember 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No Id in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/29/2004. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/712,507 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the Prior Art referred to in the specification as a device made by under the Renuzit® LongLast®, a product of Dial Corporation, of Scottsdale AZ. The Examiner notes that the Dial reference was the intended Prior Art to be used in the previous Office Action dated January 12, 2005. The Examiner apologizes for any inconvenience.
- 3. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S Patent No. 2,733,956 to Wenner.
- 4. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S Patent No 3,910,495 to Cummings et al.
- 5. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S Patent No 4,157,787 to Schwartz.
- 6. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S Patent No 4,809,912 to Santini.
- 7. Applicant is requested to show how the claimed limitations, including those set forth by the equations, do not read on the above references.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,507 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP1177799 to Wendel et al.

Regarding claims 1-4, Wendell teaches an evaporating gel container where the maintaining of a steady rate of evaporation is achieved by the use of an evaporation device (3). This device, consisting of a plate with many openings, essentially exposes a "very small intrinsic surface" (Paragraph 176, line 4 of the English translation) one plane of a multi-surfaced (assumed to be a cube) evaporative gel, thereby extending the overall life of the gel, regardless of any known mathematical computation. However it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was mad to have applied the plate technique of Wendell et al. to all sides of an evaporative gel "cube" in order to maximize the scent dispersing surface area, while preserving the longevity of the gel.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S Hogan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4902. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:00a-4:00p EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,507 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSH 03/24/2005

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700